

Microbe-mineral Reactions Between Chemolithoautotrophic Bacteria and Asbestos Minerals: Exploring New Avenues for Reducing Exposure to Asbestos Fibers

Dr. Ileana Pérez-Rodríguez
Department of Earth and Environmental Science

Dr. Reto Gieré
Department Chair of Earth and Environmental Science

Large-scale movement of asbestos minerals, resulting from their mining and use in the built environment, illustrate the type of human-driven records defining the Anthropocene¹. Due to the considerable health hazard of these minerals, asbestos-waste management and bioremediation represent important societal challenges at the international scale²⁻⁴. On one end, various treatment technologies for asbestos-containing waste have been explored with the goal of destroying the harmful fibers and enabling their reuse as secondary raw materials^{2,5}. At the other end, bioremediation strategies relying on fungi, bacteria and plants have been investigated as a way to degrade fibers and reduce their dispersion in asbestos containing landfills and abandoned mines^{3,6-8}. Here we propose to study the effect of chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms, our most distant known relatives in the tree of life⁹, as potential resources for asbestos-waste treatment and bioremediation. As the primary producers of hydrothermal vent ecosystems along Mid-Ocean Ridges, chemolithoautotrophs are notorious for their close association with mineral surfaces while also exploiting inorganic energy sources under the broad range of physicochemical conditions generated by hydrothermal convection^{10,11}. Given that asbestos minerals themselves are hydrothermal in origin, we seek to explore the extraction from asbestos fibers of (i) Si during biofilm-formation by anaerobic, chemolithoautotrophic and thermophilic *Aquificae* spp. from deep-sea vents¹², (ii) Fe₂₊ by neutrophilic, microaerobic Fe(II)-oxidizing *Zetaproteobacteria* from seafloor environments^{13,14}, and (iii) Fe₃₊ by chemolithoautotrophic Fe(III)-reducing *Deltaproteobacteria* from geothermal environments¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Studying these processes in chrysotile ((Mg,Fe₂₊)₃Si₂O₅(OH)₄), actinolite (Ca₂(Fe₂₊,Mg)₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂) and crocidolite (Na₂(Fe₂₊,Mg)₃Fe₃₊₂Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂) will allow us to screen various microbe-mineral reactions for the generation of economically feasible asbestos-waste-treatment technology. If successful, these strategies could also be explored for use in field bioremediation through genetic engineering. Ultimately, the objective of our work is to develop tailored chemolithoautotrophy-based methodologies to alter and destroy asbestos in an effort to reduce exposure to, and the health hazards of, the fibers.